

The Result.

We have no words of reproach for any one on account of the defeat which we have just suffered. We trust, as we believe, that the conduct of Democrats entrusted with the management of the late campaign was actuated by a devotion to principle and for the good of the country. We, in common with the entire South, hailed the nominations of SEYMOUR and BLAIR with enthusiasm and with earnest hope. We believe their nominations were the result of honest deliberation and patriotism, and we are satisfied now that no better men could possibly have been presented to the people. Compromises could have been made, and names presented which might have found an echo in the calculating breast of men speculating on the best interests of the country, but which would have sent a chill of despondency to the hearts of patriots in every section of the Union. When a party compromises its principles, success only secures the shadow and abandons the substance. Victory possibly might have been obtained, but the very shouts which proclaimed it would have been the death knell of the seemingly victorious party. Its principles, instead of living in the stern and indomitable integrity and devotion of its adherents, would have perished amidst the hollow and deceitful rejoicings of selfish men.

The record which this canvass has made will live as one of the proudest pages of Democratic history. Its great statesmen have made an impression upon the minds of the people which will prove of benefit to the party and the country. To all of them we feel under obligations, and to none more so than our own Southern leaders. Although corrupt men may pervert the sentiments and speeches of HAMPTON and FORREST, we thank them for their words of cheer and wisdom. They may have lost votes, which needed but a semblance of an excuse to change front, and went out of their way to pick them up, still we are proud of their deeds in the past, and love them better than ever that their great, warm hearts beat responsive to the call of their countrymen. We can well excuse a want of policy when it proceeds from honest devotion to home and to principle.

Let us regret the result of the election as much as we please, but it is too late now, after the elections in October, to cast reflection upon the action of the National Convention or their noble nominees. And as we will never vote to punish our foremost men for obeying the will of the people in going into the late war, so we have no words of censure for their conduct in the past canvass. We honor the men who were our standard-bearers in the recent campaign, and still proclaim our devotion to the principles for which they battled. Always Democrats, we went into the canvass upon principles, with enthusiasm, with hope, devoting our time and abilities in the *sanctum* and on the hustings to achieve success. Proud of our cause, proud of our leaders, proud of our principles, and proud of our party, we can look defeat in the face with no misgivings and no complaints for the present and no despondency for the future. Grateful to the large majority of the Northern people who stood by us in this struggle, and to our citizens who are as far removed from the fear of Radical power as they are above its corruptions and temptations, we close the campaign with the consciousness of duty performed and integrity preserved.

Away with useless complaints. Rather let us renew our love to the South, and do all we can to lift up her drooping head. As others turn against her, let us cling more closely to our mother. By our devotion to duty and to principle, by our honorable conduct, let us protect and shield her from the shafts of malice and falsehood directed at her fair name.

Land of my fathers, I love thee I love thee.

And all thy standards as they will,
With all thy slanders, I love thee still.

STATE ELECTION NEWS.

[SPECIAL TO THE JOURNAL.]

Sixth District.

SALISBURY, N. C., Nov. 4, 1868.

Shober's majority in the counties of Rowan, Cabarrus and Mecklenburg, is five hundred and seventy.

Seymour's majority is considerable more than Shober's.

Shober's election is considered certain. No returns from Durham's District yet.

Catawba county—Seymour's majority is 642. Shober's majority 619. Radical gain of 42.

Caldwell county—Two precincts, Conservative gain of 91.

Durke county—Radical gain reported of 30.

Alexander county—Returns not full—give a majority for Seymour and Shober.

Iredell county—Three precincts to hear from, Seymour's majority is 487. Shober's majority 390.

Shober is certainly elected by from 800 to 1,000 majority.

Johnston County.

SALISBURY, N. C., Nov. 4, 1868.

Democratic majority two hundred in Johnston county. (Democratic gain of five hundred and fifty-six.)

Pitt County.

TAMBORE, Nov. 4, 1868.

Pitt county—Democratic majority thirty-nine—Democratic gain five hundred and sixty-seven.

North Carolina.

[BY TELEGRAPH.]

FRANKLIN—Franklin, Democratic gain 20.

CHARLOTTE—Radical gain 176—large Democratic gain in the county.

GRANVILLE COUNTY—Kittrell's, Democratic gain of 70. Henderson, Democratic gain 14.

Wake county shows a Democratic gain so far as heard from. In Raleigh there is a Radical gain of 100.

HALIFAX—Small Democratic gain.

ORANGE—Hillsboro', Radical gain of 60.

Durham shows a Democratic gain.

LENOIR—Kinston gives a Republican majority of 437. Heaton, for Congress, has 436.

CARTERET—Beaufort gives a Republican majority of 171, being a Democratic gain of 72. Morehead City, Republican majority 4.

CHAYEN—Newbern and James City give a Radical majority of 1,879.

[BY MAIL.]

RICHMOND COUNTY—Rockingham, Democrat 171; Radical 396. McKoy 163; Dockery 398. Williamson Precinct, Democrat 154; Radical 77. McKoy 153. Laurel Hill, Democrat 311; Radical 322. There is a total Democratic gain in these three precincts of one hundred and eleven. Well done for the home of Dockery. There was a Radical majority of 512 in this county at the last election.

ROBESON COUNTY—Alfordsville, Democratic majority 112. McPhaul's, Democratic majority 215. Lumber Bridge, Democratic majority 88. Lamberton, Democratic majority 8—a gain of one hundred and twelve. Sterling Mills, Democratic majority 45.

HARRELSVILLE, Democratic majority 57. Black Swamp, Radical majority 55. Britts, Radical majority 9. Democratic majority thus far 70—with precincts to hear from. This county gave a Radical majority in the Spring of 1864. The county is certainly redeemed with a Democratic gain of more than 400.

ANSON COUNTY—There is a Democratic gain of 10 at Lilesville and a considerable reduction of the Radical majority at Smith's Precinct. No figures. Everything is quiet.

NEW HANOVER—Wilmington, Democrat 1,107; Radical 2,301. Masonboro', Democrat 141; Radical 33—Radical gain of 8. Middle Sound, Democrat 94; Radical 346. Lower Black River, Democrat 88; Radical 117—Democratic gain of 11. Top-sail Sound, Democrat 128; Radical 130.

South Washington, Democratic majority 18—Democratic gain of 36. Holly Shelter, Democrat 66; Radical 73. Long Creek, Radical majority 37. In the Spring election Topsail and Middle Sound were thrown in the same precinct, as was also the case with Holly Shelter and Rocky Point. Being unable to ascertain the division then, we cannot estimate the gains in these precincts now. There are 3 precincts yet to hear from.

COMBES—Complete returns from the county give Democrat 551; Radicals 512—a Democratic gain of 66.

WILSON—The town of Wilson gives a Democratic majority of 250—a gain of over 200.

SALISBURY—Clinton, Democrats 369; Radicals 84—McKoy received 389.

Duplin County.

	Dem.	Rep.
Kennansville	235	215
Alberton's	100	100
Gibson's	65	65
Hallewell	160	600
Volfover	110	45
Warren	172	138
Faison's	105	127
Lockfish	105	138
Magnolia	227	118
Cypress Creek	93	34
Island Creek	113	111
Democratic majority	1,582	1,025
	357	

THE ELECTION IN THIS CITY—THE RESULT.

The arrangements for the election in this city yesterday were sufficiently ample to afford all who desired to do so an opportunity to vote. How many neglected to avail themselves of this privilege the following returns will show. The polls were closed at sundown, and a few minutes after 8 o'clock the result in all the wards was learned. The following is the vote as officially ascertained:

FIRST WARD.

Radical	870
Democrat	261
Radical majority	1,131

SIXTH WARD.

Radical	643
Democrat	404
Radical majority	239

THIRD WARD.

Radical	429
Democrat	279
Radical majority	150

FOURTH WARD.

Radical	358
Democrat	264
Radical majority	94

RECAPITULATION.

Radical	2,301
Democrat	1,197
Radical majority	3,498
	1,104

In order to see how the vote will compare with the number registered, we republish the registration report up to the day of election:

	Whites.	Blacks.	Total.
First Ward	311	906	1,217
Second Ward	319	358	677
Third Ward	285	311	596
Fourth Ward	1,322	2,363	3,685
Majority for Constitution	2,033	1,172	3,205

It will be seen by this that the Radicals have increased their majority since then 243 votes.

We have not given the votes for Congressmen and member of the General Assembly as the number does not vary more than two or three, under or above, from the Electoral ticket as indicated in the above.

Southern Home Journal.

This family paper increases every week in interest and ability. Among the new novelties is the "Cruise of the Six Hundred," by Maj. W. W. Goldsboro. The account he gives of the horrible sufferings and privations inflicted upon *Six Hundred Confederate Officers*, who were sent in 1864 from Fort Delaware to General Foster's Department of the South, for retaliatory purposes, is almost beyond human belief; but there are still many living witnesses to the facts. Everything we have ever read of brutality to prisoners during the war pales before this, which causes an involuntary shudder. Truly there is a Wirz who has been overlooked.

The interesting account is rendered even more interesting to the people of our city by the kindly and affectionate manner in which one whose memory is dear to every Wilmingtonian—Col. WILLIE PARLEY—is spoken of.

The Southern Home Journal is published at Baltimore, Md., by JOHN Y. SLATER, at the low price of \$3 per annum, and should receive the support of all who desire to foster Southern literature. Send for a sample copy.

A GREAT NEWSPAPER ENTERPRISE.—As will be observed by reference to prospectus, *Moore's Rural New-Yorker* is to be nearly doubled in size and otherwise materially improved. The *RURAL* is already the most popular national weekly of its class, but the proposed change must largely extend its circulation and influence.

From the Baltimore Sun.

Agricultural Theorists.

It is very common for those who think themselves eminently practical, and, by consequence, eminently wise, to speak derisively of those whom they are pleased to call theorists—men of culture and of scientific attainments perhaps, who, from want of practical habits and skill, are less successful in the field than the man who, by the aid of his theory, has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant.

It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who has been able to make his land more fertile and his crops more abundant. It is not, however, as they are so often called, that the man who is successful in the field is a theorist. He is a man who